DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

TRIAL DIVISION

July 14, 2017

AllCare CCO, Inc. c/o Registered Agent 1701 NE 7th Street Grants Pass, OR 97526

Cascade Health Alliance, LLC c/o Registered Agent 2909 Daggett Ave, Ste. 225 Klamath Falls, OR 97601

Columbia Pacific CCO, LLC c/o Registered Agent 315 SW Fifth Ave.
Portland, OR 97204

Eastern Oregon CCO, LLC c/o Registered Agent 1127 Broadway St., NE, STE 310 Salem, OR 97204

Jackson Care Connect c/o Registered Agent 315 SW Fifth Ave Portland, OR 97204

Health Share of Oregon c/o Registered Agent 2121 SW Broadway STE 200 Portland, OR 97201

Intercommunity Health Network CCO c/o Registered Agent 815 NW 9th St., Ste.103 Corvallis, OR 97330

Pacific Source Community Solutions c/o Registered Agent 2965 NE Conners Ave. Bend, OR 97701

PrimaryHealth c/o Registered Agent 1867 Williams Hwy Ste 108 Grants Pass, OR 97527

Trillium Community Health Plan, Inc. c/o Registered Agent 7700 Forsyth Blvd Ste 800 Saint Louis, MO 63105

Umqua Health Alliance c/o Registered Agent 1813 W. Harvard Ave. Ste 448 Roseburg, OR 97471

Western Oregon Advanced Health, LLC c/o Registered Agent 289 LaClair Street Coos Bay, OR 97420

Willamette Valley Community Health, LLC c/o Registered Agent 2995 Ryan DR SE STE 200 Salem, OR 97301

Yamhill Community Care c/o Registered Agent 807 NE Third Street McMinnville, OR 97128

Re: FamilyCare, Inc. v. Oregon Health Authority
Marion County Circuit Court Case No. 17CV09226

July 14, 2017 Page 2

Dear Registered Agent:

This office represents Oregon Health Authority in the above-referenced lawsuit brought by FamilyCare. In conjunction with the lawsuit, OHA has received the enclosed request for production from FamilyCare seeking to obtain certain documents provided by you to OHA.

OHA intends to comply with its discovery obligations in the lawsuit. However, OHA understands you may want to seek protection for your possible trade secrets. To address these two potentially competing interests, OHA issued the enclosed objections to certain of FamilyCare's requests on the grounds that they seek documents of third parties that may be trade secret. However, whether a document is appropriately considered trade secret is a fact-based analysis, the outcome of which may vary from CCO to CCO in this case. OHA does not possess all the facts necessary to accurately determine which of the documents FamilyCare seeks to obtain may be, or in fact are, trade secrets of third parties. This determination should be made initially by you and then, if necessary, by the Court. Therefore, subject to a court order or other legal requirement to the alternative, OHA will refrain from producing any responsive documents provided by you to OHA until after July 28, 2017, to allow for you to decide whether you will seek to protect documents from further disclosure, or seek exemption from discovery from the Court. We have enclosed for your reference the stipulated protective order entered in the lawsuit.

If you take no action by July 28, OHA intends to proceed with its discovery obligations, which may result in production of documents originally received from CCOs to FamilyCare. If, by July 28, you take appropriate steps to protect your documents or seek exemption from discovery, upon request, OHA will consider any objections or proposed alternatives to production.

If you would like to discuss this matter further, please contact me, or if you are represented by counsel, please have your counsel contact me.

Sincerely,

s/Renee Stineman

Renee Stineman Attorney-in-Charge

Enclosures 8380492-v1/RS7/c4m

cc: FamilyCare, Inc., c/o Counsel of Record

1				
2				
3				
4	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT	Γ FOR THE STATE OF OREGON		
5	FOR THE COUNTY OF MARION			
6	TOR THE CO	TOTALIANION		
7	FAMILYCARE, INC., an Oregon non-	Case No. 17CV09226		
8	profit corporation,	PLAINTIFF FAMILYCARE INC.'S		
9	Plaintiff,	FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS TO		
10	v.	DEFENDANT OREGON HEALTH AUTHORITY		
11	OREGON HEALTH AUTHORITY, an agency of the State of Oregon,			
12				
13	Defendant.			
14	Pursuant to ORCP 36 and 43, Plaintif	f FamilyCare, Inc. ("FamilyCare") hereby requests		
15	that Defendant Oregon Health Authority ("OHA") produce the documents described herein for			
16	inspection and copying at the offices of Plaintiff's counsel, Perkins Coie LLP, 1120 NW Couch			
17	Street, Tenth Floor, Portland, Oregon, 97209, or at such other location as may be determined by			
18	agreement, within thirty (30) days of this request. Please respond to each request for production			
19	in accordance with the instructions and definitions set forth below.			
20	DEFINITIONS			
21	As used in these Requests, the follow	ing terms are defined as such:		
22	1. "2017 Base Data Policy" shal	l mean the Base Data Policy as discussed in		
23	Appendix VIII, 2017 Reimbursement Review	v, of the 2017 Actuarial Certification.		
24	2. "2017 Capitation Rates" shall mean the capitated rates for the Oregon CCOs for			
25	the 2017 rating period, as reflected in the 201	17 Actuarial Certification.		
26				

1	3.	"2017 Actuarial Certification" shall mean the document titled "Oregon Health
2	Authority CC	CO Rate Development Actuarial Certification January 1 - December 31, 2017
3	Capitation Ra	ates," attached as Exhibit 3 to the Complaint in this Action.
4	4.	"2017 Reimbursement Review" shall mean the document titled "2017
5	Reimburseme	ent Review" attached as Appendix VIII to the 2017 Actuarial Certification within
6	Exhibit 3 to t	he Complaint in this Action.
7	5.	"Action" means the present litigation entitled FamilyCare, Inc. v. Oregon Health
8	Authority, No	o. 17CV09226.
9	6.	"Actuarial Soundness" shall refer to any accepted actuarial principles and
10	practices relie	ed on by OHA in developing the 2017 Capitation Rates.
11	7.	"Base Data" shall refer to the base data described in Section 2.02 of the 2017
12	Actuarial Cer	rtification.
13	8.	"Base Data Adjustments" shall refer to the base data adjustments described in
14	Section 2.03	of the 2017 Actuarial Certification
15	9.	"CareOregon" shall refer to CareOregon, Inc. and any past and present agents,
16	representative	es, accountants, actuaries, attorneys, employees, including former employees,
17	subsidiaries,	any members, partners, or risk-accepting entities, or any other person or entity that
18	has documen	ts deemed to be in its possession, custody, or control, and including such entity
19	under its prio	r names and in any form of a domestic or foreign limited liability corporation,
20	partnership, o	or corporation.

11. "Communicate" or "Communication(s)" shall refer to any transmission or exchange of information between two or more persons, orally or in writing, and includes, but is

former employees, subsidiaries, or any other person or entity that has documents deemed to be in

and present agents, representatives, accountants, actuaries, attorneys, employees, including

"CMS" shall mean the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services and any past

10.

its possession, custody, or control.

21

22

23

24

25

26

Perkins Coie LLP 1120 N.W. Couch Street, 10th Floor Portland, OR 97209-4128 Phone: 503.727.2000

- not limited, to all conversations or discussions, whether such communication or discussion occurred face-to-face or by means of letter, telephone, telegraph, telecopier, telex, facsimile, electronic mail ("email"), or other media.
 - 12. "Coordinated care organization" or "CCO" shall means an organization meeting criteria adopted by the Oregon Health Authority under ORS 414.625.
 - 13. "Document" means all items that are considered to be "documents" or tangible things within the meaning of Rule 43 of the Oregon Rules of Civil Procedure and refers to the original and all copies of written, printed, typed, recorded, computer generated or graphic matter, or other instrument(s) or device(s) which contain information or from which information can be retrieved, including, without limitation, any kind of written, typewritten, or printed material whatsoever, any kind of graphic material, and any computer applications, memoranda, correspondence, studies, working papers, letters, telegrams, invoices, personal diaries, handwritten notes of any kind including Post-it® notes, reports, records, books forms, indexes, transcriptions and recordings, magnetic tapes, video tapes, wire recordings, disks and printed cards, data sheets, data processing cards, personal calendars, interoffice memoranda, minutes and records of any sorts of meetings, financial statements, financial calculations, estimates, reports of telephone or other oral conversations, appointment books, maps, drawings, charts, graphs, photographs, and all other writings and recordings of every kind, however produced or reproduced, whether signed or unsigned. The terms "document" and "documents" include, without limitation, originals and all file copies that are not identical to the original no matter how or by whom prepared, and all drafts prepared in connection with any documents, whether used or not. If the original of any documents is not in your possession, custody, or control, a copy of that document should be produced. A draft or non-identical copy is a separate document within the meaning of this term.
 - 14. The terms "FamilyCare" or "Plaintiff" shall refer to plaintiff FamilyCare, Inc.

26

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Phone: 503.727.2000 Fax: 503.727.2222

1	15. "Health Management Associates" shall refer to "Health Management Associates,
2	Inc." and any past and present agents, representatives, accountants, attorneys, employees,
3	including former employees, or any other person or entity that has documents deemed to be in its
4	possession, custody, or control, and including such entity under its prior names and in any form
5	of a domestic or foreign limited liability corporation, partnership, or corporation.

- 16. "Health Share" shall refer to "Health Share of Oregon" and any past and present agents, representatives, accountants, attorneys, employees, including former employees, any members, partners, or risk-accepting entities, or any other person or entity that has documents deemed to be in its possession, custody, or control, and including such entity under its prior names and in any form of a domestic or foreign limited liability corporation, partnership, or corporation.
- 17. "Optumas" shall refer to Optumas and/or Schramm Health Partners, L.L.C., and any past and present agents, representatives, accountants, attorneys, employees, including former employees, or any other person or entity that has documents deemed to be in its possession, custody, or control, and including such entity under its prior names and in any form of a domestic or foreign limited liability corporation, partnership, or corporation.
- 18. The terms "Oregon Health Authority", "Defendant", "You", and/or "Your" shall refer to the Oregon Health Authority, both separately and jointly, and any past and present agents, representatives, accountants, attorneys, employees, including former employees, or any other person or entity that has documents deemed to be in its possession, custody, or control.
- 19. "Rate Development Standards" shall refer to the rate development standards as set forth in 42 CFR § 438.5(a)-(g) (May 6, 2016).
- 20. "Regional Rate Model" shall refer to the rate development process used by Optumas described in Section 2.01 of the 2017 Actuarial Certification.

1	21.	"Settlement Agreement" shall refer to the agreement entered into and effective as
2	of May 22, 20	016, between FamilyCare, Inc. and the State of Oregon by and through the Oregon
3	Health Author	ority.
4	22.	References to persons and entities include their agents, employees, officers,
5	directors, affi	liated entities and companies, predecessors, successors, accountants, attorneys, and
6	representative	es.
7	23.	The terms "and" and "or" shall be construed both disjunctively and conjunctively
8	24.	The term "any" shall include and encompass the words "all" and "each."
9	25.	The singular shall include the plural, the use of the masculine gender shall include
10	the feminine	gender, and vice versa, whenever the context reasonably allows or requires such a
11	construction.	
12	26.	The term "person" means any natural person, public or private corporation,
13	partnership, a	association, joint venture, any group or any form of a business, legal or
14	governmenta	l entity, or association.
15	27.	"Relating to" shall mean supporting, contradicting, describing, studying,
16	analyzing, co	nsidering, substantiating, regarding, explaining, mentioning, discussing,
17	commenting	on, touching upon, and pertaining to any logical connection.
18	28.	"Reflecting" shall mean summarizing, memorializing, evidencing, constituting,
19	containing, ic	lentifying, showing, comprising, and containing any logical connection with.
20		INSTRUCTIONS
21	A.	You are to produce all documents that are in your possession, custody, or control
22	or in the poss	ession, custody, or control of Your representatives, including persons consulted
23	concerning an	ny factual matters or matters of opinion related to any of the facts or issues involved
24	in this case; s	uch persons shall include attorneys with whom You consulted unless you claim
25	such docume	nts are privileged or otherwise protected, but see infra Instruction H. These

PAGE 5- PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS TO DEFENDANT

26

1	Requests for Production of Documents extend to correspondence and electronic communications
2	sent from or received at a personal physical address or personal email address.

- В. Unless otherwise specified, the time period covered by these Requests for Production of Documents is January 1, 2014, to the present. However, if a document prepared prior to January 1, 2014, or subsequent to the date of these Requests for Production of Documents refers to events during the period January 1, 2014, through the present, and/or is necessary for a correct or complete understanding of any document covered by these Requests for Production of Documents, the document shall be produced. If any document is undated and the date of its preparation cannot be determined, the document shall be produced if it is otherwise responsive to these Requests for Production of Documents and no evidence exists to conclusively establish that this document was prepared prior to January 1, 2014.
- Each document request, and the portions thereof, is to be responded to separately, C. but responses to one document request, or portion thereof, may be incorporated by reference in responses to other document requests, or portions thereof.
- D. All documents produced pursuant to these Requests for Production of Documents shall be produced either in separate groups of documents responsive to each separate document request or in the form and order in which they were kept by you before being produced.
- E. Each request for documents, whether memoranda, reports, letters, minutes, emails, or other documents of any description, requires the production of each document in its entirety, including all pages and attachments or exhibits, without redaction or expurgation.
- F. If a document was prepared in several copies or if additional copies were thereafter made, and if such copies were not identical or are no longer identical by reason of any notation or modification of any kind whatsoever located on either the front or the back of such document, then each non-identical copy is a separate document and must be separately identified and produced.

26

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	G.	If you	claim any document request herein is ambiguous, describe in what way the
2	request is am	biguous,	state the meaning applied to the request, and respond to the request as
3	interpreted.		
4	H.	If you	claim any form of privilege, whether based on statute or otherwise, as a
5	ground for no	ot produc	ing requested documents, please furnish a log providing the following
6	information v	with resp	ect to each withheld document:
7		i.	the date of the document;
8	j	ii.	for each individual who prepared, produced, reproduced, or received the
9			document for which the privilege is claimed, state the person's name,
0			current (or last known) business and residence addresses, current (or last
1			known) business and residential telephone numbers, current (or last
2			known) title or position, and occupation;
13	i	ii.	describe the document in sufficient detail to identify it without revealing
4			the information for which the privilege is claimed; and
5	i	v.	state every fact or basis upon which you claim any such privilege.
6	I.	These	document requests are continuing and, to the extent required by the Oregon
7	Rules of Civi	l Proced	ure, you must immediately produce any responsive documents or
8	information a	after any	such document(s) or information comes within your possession, custody,
9	or control thr	oughout	the pendency of this action.
20	J.	Docum	nents shall be produced in Tagged Image File Format ("TIFF"), single page
21	black and wh	ite (or in	color, if necessary for any given document or its content to be readable),
22	dithered (if a	ppropriat	e), at 300 x 300 dpi resolution and 8½ x 11 inch page size, except for
23	documents re	quiring o	lifferent resolution or page size to make them readable. Each TIFF
24	document sha	all be pro	duced with an image load file in standard Opticon (*.log) format that
25	reflects the pa	arent / ch	nild relationship. In addition, each TIFF document shall also be produced

with a data load file in Concordance delimited format (*.dat), indicating (at a minimum)

Perkins Coie LLP 1120 N.W. Couch Street, 10th Floor Portland, OR 97209-4128

Phone: 503.727.2000 Fax: 503.727.2222

25

26

appropriate unitization of the documents, including beginning and ending production numbers
for (a) each document set, and (b) each attachment within each document set. The TIFF images
shall also be accompanied by extracted text or, for those files that do not have extracted text
upon being processed (such as hard copy documents), optical character recognition ("OCR") tex
data; such extracted text or OCR text data shall be provided in document level form and named
after the TIFF image. Documents that contain redactions shall be OCR'd after the redaction is
applied to the image, and the OCR will be produced in placed of extracted text at the document
level. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the parties may negotiate a separate production format
(including native format) for any documents that are not reasonably producible or readable as
standard image files, such as audio files or large spreadsheets.

K. For documents produced in TIFF format that originated in electronic form, metadata shall be included with the data load files described above, and shall include (at a minimum) the following information: file name (including extension); original file path; page count; creation date and time; last saved date and time; last modified date and time; author; custodian of the document (that is, the custodian from whom the document was collected or, if collected from a shared drive or server, the name of the shared driver or server); and MD5 hash value. In addition, for email documents, the data load files shall also include the following metadata: sent date; sent time; received date; received time; "to" name(s) and address(es); "from" name and address; "cc" name(s) and address(es); "bcc" name(s) and address(es); subject; names of attachment(s); and attachment(s) count. All images and load files must be named or foldered in such a manner that all records can be imported without modification of any path or file name information.

REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 1: All documents submitted by OHA or its actuarial consultants (including, but not limited to, Optumas) to CMS relating to the Rate Development

1	Standards for each CCO and the trend models for each CCO in connection with the 2017
2	Capitation Rates.
3	
4	Response:
5	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 2: All communications and worksheets, workbooks,
6	
7	spreadsheets, models, and documents that OHA or its actuarial consultants (including, but not
8	limited to, Optumas) used or considered when evaluating or determining the Actuarial
9	Soundness of the 2017 Capitation Rates.
10	
11	Response:
12	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 3: All communications related to and data used or
13	considered by OHA or its actuarial consultants (including, but not limited to, Optumas) in
14	connection with the 2017 Capitation Rates.
15	connection with the 2017 Cupitation Rates.
16	Response:
17	
18	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 4: All communications related to, and reports,
19	memoranda, models, and documents prepared by, OHA's actuarial consultants (including, but
20	not limited to, Optumas) in connection with the 2017 Capitation Rates.
21	
22	Response:
23	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 5: All documents and data supporting the Regional
24	
25	Rate Model for the region in which FamilyCare operates.
26	

PAGE 9- PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS TO DEFENDANT

1	Response:
2	
3	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 6: All documents reflecting or relating to OHA's
4	policy decisions that affected the development of the 2017 Capitation Rates, including, but not
5	limited to, policy decisions pertaining to lowering rates based on a CCO's payments to primary
6	care providers or any decisions pertaining to the 2017 Base Data Policy.
7	
8	Response:
9	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 7: To the extent documents are not provided in
10 11	response to the foregoing requests, all communications or documents related to the calculation of
12	Base Data Adjustments, including any consideration of a CCO's past or current profitability,
13	analysis of CCO financial statements, and consideration of reported expenditures, in connection
14	with the 2017 Capitation Rates.
15	D
16	Response:
17	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 8: All documents recording or reflecting any written or
18	verbal communication between OHA and Health Share regarding capitation rates from
19	January 1, 2013, to present.
20	
21	Response:
22	DECLIDED DODLICETON NO. 6 All 1
23	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 9: All documents recording or reflecting any written or
24	verbal communication between OHA and CareOregon regarding capitation rates from January 1,
25	2013, to present.
26	

PAGE 10- PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS TO DEFENDANT

1	Response:
2	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 10: To the extent documents are not provided in
3	REQUEST FOR I RODUCTION NO. 10. To the extent documents are not provided in
4	response to the foregoing requests, all documents recording or reflecting any written or verbal
5	communication between OHA and Jeremy Vandehey regarding capitation rates from 2015 to
6	present.
7	
8	Response:
9	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 11: To the extent documents are not provided in
10	response to the foregoing requests, all documents recording or reflecting any written or verbal
11	
12	communication between OHA and any leadership or staff employee at Health Management
13	Associates regarding capitation rates from 2015 to present.
14	Dognongo
15	Response:
16	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 12: All versions of any document reflecting the
17	Regional Rate Model for each of the four rating regions within Oregon for the calendar years
18	2015, 2016, and 2017.
19	2013, 2010, and 2017.
20	Response:
21	
22	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 13: Any documents relating to, reflecting, or
23	containing the Base Data for each of the 16 CCOs in Oregon.
24	Response:
25	Attopondo.
26	

PAGE 11- PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS TO DEFENDANT

1	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 14: Any documents relating to, reflecting, or	
2	containing the regional base data and risk factors and/or raw risk score data for each of the four	
3	rating regions within Oregon.	
4		
5	Response:	
6 7	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 15: All documents recording or reflecting any	
8	communication, written policies, documents, and data regarding any adjustments made by or at	
9	the direction of OHA or by Optumas to FamilyCare's reported costs for the calendar years 2015,	
10	2016, and 2017.	
11		
12	Response:	
13	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 16: All documents recording or reflecting any written	
14	or verbal communications regarding FamilyCare between: Lynne Saxton, Rhonda Busek, David	
15 16	Rohrer, Dennis Tang, and/or Jeremy Vandehey, Lori Coyner, Leslie Clement, amongst	
17	themselves individually or collectively, or with or including any other person.	
18		
19	Response:	
20	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 17: All documents recording or reflecting any	
21	communication between OHA and Optumas regarding the 2015, 2016, and 2017 capitation rates	
22		
23	Response:	
24	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 18: All documents recording or reflecting any	
25		
26	communication between OHA and CMS regarding the 2015, 2016, and 2017 capitation rates.	

PAGE 12- PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS TO DEFENDANT

Perkins Coie LLP 1120 N.W. Couch Street, 10th Floor Portland, OR 97209-4128 Phone: 503.727.2000 Fax: 503.727.2222

1	Response:
2	
3	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 19: All documents provided to OHA by each and every
4	CCO in Oregon that relate to each and every CCO's income, expenses, and profitability,
5	including, but not limited to, the income, expenses, and profitability of each and every CCO's
6	related entities.
7	
8	Response:
9	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 20: All documents recording or reflecting
10	communications between OHA and Optumas regarding the 2017 Actuarial Certification, the
12	information contained therein, or the information on which the 2017 Rate Certification was
13	based.
14	Response:
6	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 21: All documents recording or reflecting internal
17	communications related to the 2017 Actuarial Certification, the information contained therein, or
18	the information on which it was based.
20	Response:
21	
22	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 22: All drafts of the 2017 Actuarial Certification.
23	Response:
24	
25	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 23: All drafts of the 2017 Reimbursement Review.
26	

PAGE 13- PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS TO DEFENDANT

	Response:
<u>}</u>	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 24: All documents recording or reflecting
ļ	communications within OHA related to the 2017 Reimbursement Review, its implementation,
	the policy decisions reflected therein, or the information on which it was based.
	Response:
	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 25: All documents recording or reflecting
	communications involving OHA related to the 2017 Reimbursement Review, its implementation,
	the policy decisions reflected therein, or the information on which it was based.
	Response:
	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 26: All documents recording or reflecting
	communications between OHA and Optumas related to the 2017 Capitation Rates, including but
	not limited to the development of those rates.
	Response:
	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 27: All documents recording or reflecting internal
	communications related to the 2017 Capitation Rates, including but not limited to the
	development of those rates.
	Response:

PAGE 14- PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS TO DEFENDANT

1	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 28: All documents recording or reflecting
2	communications involving OHA related to the 2017 Capitation Rates, including but not limited
3	to the development of those rates.
4	
5	Response:
6 7	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 29: All documents recording or reflecting any
8	communication, written policies, documents, and/or data involving the Settlement Agreement,
9	effective as of May 22, 2106, entered into between OHA and FamilyCare.
0	
1	Response:
12	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 30: All documents recording or reflecting any
13	communication, written policies, documents, and/or data communicating CCO cost, utilization
14	and/or performance related to the Quality and Health Outcomes Committee of the Office of
6	Clinical Services Improvement.
17	Response:
8	response.
9	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 31: All documents recording or reflecting any OHA
20	communication to any of the 16 CCOs in Oregon, written policies, documents, and/or data
21	regarding CCO cost, utilization, and/or performance.
22	
23	Response:
24	
25	
26	

PAGE 15- PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS TO DEFENDANT

DATED: June 1, 2017	PERKINS COIE LLP
	By: /s Meredith M. Price
	Stephen F. English, OSB No. 730843 SEnglish@perkinscoie.com Thomas R. Johnson, OSB No. 010645
	TRJohnson@perkinscoie.com Meredith M. Price, OSB No. 134627
	MPrice@perkinscoie.com 1120 N.W. Couch Street, 10th Floor Portland, OR 97209-4128
	Telephone: 503.727.2000 Facsimile: 503.727.2222
	Attorneys for Plaintiff FamilyCare, Inc.
	Of Counsel (admitted via pro hac vice)
	Melanie K. Curtice WSB No. 28479 MCurtice@perkinscoie.com
	Matthew P. Gordon, WSB No. 41128 MGordon@perkinscoie.com Perkins Coie LLP
	1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900 Seattle, WA 98101
	Telephone: 1.206.359.8000 Facsimile: 1.206.359.9000
	Attorneys for Plaintiff FamilyCare, Inc.
	DATED: June 1, 2017

PAGE 16- PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS TO DEFENDANT

Perkins Coie LLP 1120 N.W. Couch Street, 10th Floor Portland, OR 97209-4128 Phone: 503.727.2000

1		<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>
2		The undersigned hereby certifies under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of
3	Orego	on that, on June 1, 2017, he caused to be served on the person(s) listed below in the manner
4	shown	n:
5	PLA	INTIFF FAMILYCARE INC.'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS TO OREGON HEALTH AUTHORITY
66 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 3	c/o Re Depar 100 S' Portla	on Health Authority enee Stineman ttment of Justice, Special Litigation Unit W Market St. nd, OR 97201 neys for Oregon Health Authority United States Mail, First Class By Messenger By E-Mail By regular e-mail and/or court's electronic service if parties are enrolled
15 16 17		Dated at Portland, Oregon, on June 1, 2017. /s Meredith M. Price
8		Meredith M. Price
9		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

Perkins Coie LLP 1120 N.W. Couch Street, 10th Floor Portland, OR 97209-4128 Phone: 503.727.2000

1				
2				
3				
4	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON			
5	FOR THE COUNTY OF MARION			
6	FAMILYCARE, INC., an Oregon non-profit	Case No. 17CV09226		
7	corporation,	Honorable Sean E. Armstrong		
8	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF		
9	v.	DOCUMENTS		
10	OREGON HEALTH AUTHORITY, an agency of the State of Oregon,			
11	Defendant.	ORS 20.140 - State fees deferred at filing		
12				
13	GENERAL	OBJECTIONS		
14	Defendant Oregon Health Authority (he	reafter "OHA" or "Defendant") objects to		
15	plaintiff's requests for production of documents to the extent they seek documents or information			
16	protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privileges or			
17	protections.			
18	OHA further objects to the extent plaint	iff's requests seek voluminous, duplicative and		
19	marginally relevant information that would be unduly burdensome and costly to collect, process,			
20	review and produce.			
21	OHA also objects to the extent the requests seek electronically stored information that is			
22	not reasonably accessible.			
23	OHA objects to plaintiff's definitions and instructions to the extent they are not			
24	authorized by ORCP and/or exceed the scope of discovery allowed by ORCP, and to the extent			
25	5 that they impose obligations on OHA beyond those permitted by ORCP. Specifically, OHA			
26 Page	Page 1 - DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS RS7/rth2/8368869-v3			

Department of Justice 100 SW Market Street Portland, OR 97201 (971) 673-1880 / Fax: (971) 673-5000

1	objects to Instructions J and K. OHA will produce in the standard image and load file format			
2	used by the Department of Justice for processed electronic and hard copy documents, including			
3	relevant, non-privileged metadata. The parties will confer as necessary to resolve questions			
4	regarding form or production, including file-type specific formats.			
5	OHA will only produce documents in its possession, custody, or control. OHA will only			
6	produce responsive information that can be identified using reasonable means of search and is			
7	prepared to confer regarding search terms and the scope and form of production.			
8	Any production of documents by OHA is made without waiving or intending to waive (1)			
9	the above objections; (2) the right to object on the grounds of competency, privilege, relevancy,			
10	or materiality, or on any other ground, to the use of such information, for any purpose in whole			
11	or in part, in any subsequent step or proceeding in this action or in any other action; and (3) the			
12	right to object on any and all grounds, at any time, to any other discovery procedure involving or			
13	relating to the subject matter of this request, and to secure an appropriate protective order when			
14	necessary to protect confidential and/or employment information of state employees.			
15	The following responses to plaintiff's request for production are subject to the above			
16	general objections.			
17	RESPONSES			
18	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 1: All documents submitted by OHA or its actuarial			
19	consultants (including, but not limited to, Optumas) to CMS relating to the Rate Development			
20	Standards for each CCO and the trend models for each CCO in connection with the 2017			
21	Capitation Rates.			
22	RESPONSE: Objection, this request seeks documents or information that is trade secret			
23	or other proprietary information of a third party. Further, this request this request also seeks			
24	documents that are not relevant or likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and is			
25				
26 Page	2 - DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS RS7/rh2/8368869-v3			

1	vague and/or overbroad in terms of the use of the words "in connection with." Subject to this
2	objection and the general objections stated above, responsive documents will be produced.
3	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 2: All communications and worksheets, workbooks,
4	spreadsheets, models, and documents that OHA or its actuarial consultants (including, but not
5	limited to, Optumas) used or considered when evaluating or determining the Actuarial
6	Soundness of the 2017 Capitation Rates.
7	RESPONSE: Objection, this request seeks documents or information that is trade secret
8	or other proprietary information of a third party and seeks documents or information that is not
9	within the possession, custody or control of OHA. Subject to this objection and the general
10	objections stated above, responsive documents will be produced.
11	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 3: All communications related to and data used or
12	considered by OHA or its actuarial consultants (including, but not limited to, Optumas) in
13	connection with the 2017 Capitation Rates.
14	RESPONSE: Objection, this request seeks documents or information that is trade secret
15	or other proprietary information of a third party and seeks documents or information that is not
16	within the possession, custody or control of OHA. Further, this request seeks documents that are
17	not relevant or likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and is vague and/or
18	overbroad in terms of the use of the words "or considered" and "in connection with." Subject to
19	this objection and the general objections stated above, responsive documents will be produced.
20	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 4: All communications related to, and reports,
21	memoranda, models, and documents prepared by, OHA's actuarial consultants (including, but
22	not limited to, Optumas) in connection with the 2017 Capitation Rates.
23	RESPONSE: Objection, this request seeks documents or information that is trade secret
24	or other proprietary information of a third party and seeks documents or information that is not

Page 3 - DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

within the possession, custody or control of OHA. Further, this request seeks documents that are

RS7/rh2/8368869-v3

25

26

1	not relevant or likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and is vague and/or
2	overbroad in terms of the use of the words "or considered" and "in connection with." Subject to
3	this objection and the general objections stated above, responsive documents will be produced.
4	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 5: All documents and data supporting the Regional
5	Rate Model for the region in which FamilyCare operates.
6	RESPONSE: Objection, this request seeks documents or information that is trade secret
7	or other proprietary information of a third party and seeks documents or information that is not
8	within the possession, custody or control of OHA. Further, this request seeks documents that are
9	not relevant or likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and is vague and/or
10	overbroad in terms of the lack of a date range encompassed and the use of the word
11	"supporting." Subject to this objection and the general objections stated above, responsive
12	documents from May 22, 2016 (effective date of Settlement Agreement), to present, will be
13	produced.
14	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 6: All documents reflecting or relating to OHA's
15	policy decisions that affected the development of the 2017 Capitation Rates, including, but not
16	limited to, policy decisions pertaining to lowering rates based on a CCO's payments to primary
17	care providers or any decisions pertaining to the 2017 Base Data Policy.
18	RESPONSE: Objection, this request seeks documents or information that is trade secret
19	or other proprietary information of a third party. Subject to this objection and the general
20	objections stated above, responsive documents will be produced.
21	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 7: To the extent documents are not provided in
22	response to the foregoing requests, all communications or documents related to the calculation of

analysis of CCO financial statements, and consideration of reported expenditures, in connection
 with the 2017 Capitation Rates.

Base Data Adjustments, including any consideration of a CCO's past or current profitability,

23

26

Page 4 - DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS
RS7/rh2/8368869-v3

1	RESPONSE: Objection, this request seeks documents or information that is trade secret			
2	or other proprietary information of a third party and seeks documents or information that is not			
3	within the possession, custody or control of OHA. Further, this request seeks documents that ar			
4	not relevant or likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and is vague and/or			
5	overbroad in terms of the use of the words "any consideration of" and "in connection with."			
6	Subject to this objection and the general objections stated above, responsive documents will be			
7	produced.			
8	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 8: All documents recording or reflecting any written or			
9	verbal communication between OHA and Health Share regarding capitation rates from			
10	January 1, 2013, to present.			
11	RESPONSE: Objection, this request seeks documents or information that is trade secret			
12	or other proprietary information of a third party. Further, this request seeks documents that are			
13	not relevant or likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and is vague and/or			
14	overbroad in terms of the date range encompassed and the use of the words "any			
15	communication regarding capitation rates." Subject to this objection and the general			
16	objections stated above, responsive documents from May 22, 2016, to present, will be produced.			
17	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 9: All documents recording or reflecting any written or			
18	verbal communication between OHA and CareOregon regarding capitation rates from January 1,			
19	2013, to present.			
20	RESPONSE: Objection, this request seeks documents or information that is trade secret			
21	or other proprietary information of a third party. Further, this request seeks documents that are			
22	not relevant or likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and is vague and/or			
23	overbroad in terms of the date range encompassed and the use of the words "any			
24	communication regarding capitation rates." Subject to this objection and the general			
25	objections stated above, responsive documents from May 22, 2016, to present, will be produced.			
26 Page	5 - DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION			

Department of Justice 100 SW Market Street Portland, OR 97201

OF DOCUMENTS RS7/rh2/8368869-v3

1	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 10: To the extent documents are not provided in
2	response to the foregoing requests, all documents recording or reflecting any written or verbal
3	communication between OHA and Jeremy Vandehey regarding capitation rates from 2015 to
4	present.
5	RESPONSE: Objection, this request seeks documents that are not relevant or likely to
6	lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and is vague and/or overbroad in terms of the date
7	range encompassed and the use of the words "any communication regarding capitation
8	rates." Subject to this objection and the general objections stated above, responsive documents
9	from May 22, 2016, to present, will be produced.
10	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 11: To the extent documents are not provided in
11	response to the foregoing requests, all documents recording or reflecting any written or verbal
12	communication between OHA and any leadership or staff employee at Health Management
13	Associates regarding capitation rates from 2015 to present.
14	RESPONSE: Objection, this request seeks documents that are not relevant or likely to
15	lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Further, this request seeks documents that are not
16	relevant or likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and is vague and/or overbroad
17	in terms of the date range encompassed and the use of the words "any communication
18	regarding capitation rates." Subject to this objection and the general objections stated above,
19	responsive documents from May 22, 2016, to present, will be produced.
20	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 12: All versions of any document reflecting the
21	Regional Rate Model for each of the four rating regions within Oregon for the calendar years
22	2015, 2016, and 2017.
23	RESPONSE: Objection, this request seeks documents that are not within the possession,
24	custody, or control of OHA. This request also seeks documents that are not relevant or likely to
25	lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Further, this request is vague and/or overbroad in
26 Page	6 - DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION

Department of Justice 100 SW Market Street Portland, OR 97201 (971) 673-1880 / Fax: (971) 673-5000

OF DOCUMENTS RS7/rh2/8368869-v3

- 1 terms of the date range encompassed and the use of the words "any version of any document."
- 2 Subject to this objection and the general objections stated above, responsive documents from
- 3 May 22, 2016, to present, will be produced.
- 4 **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 13:** Any documents relating to, reflecting, or
- 5 containing the Base Data for each of the 16 CCOs in Oregon.
- 6 **RESPONSE:** Objection, this request seeks documents that are not within the possession,
- 7 custody, or control of OHA. Further, this request seeks documents that are not relevant or likely
- 8 to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and is vague and/or overbroad in terms of the
- 9 lack of date range encompassed and the use of the words "Any documents relating to, reflecting,
- 10 or containing " Subject to this objection and the general objections stated above, responsive
- documents from May 22, 2016, to present, will be produced.
- 12 **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 14:** Any documents relating to, reflecting, or
- 13 containing the regional base data and risk factors and/or raw risk score data for each of the four
- 14 rating regions within Oregon.
- 15 **RESPONSE:** Objection, this request seeks documents that are not within the possession,
- 16 custody, or control of OHA. This request seeks documents that are not relevant or likely to lead
- 17 to the discovery of admissible evidence and is vague and/or overbroad in terms of the lack of
- date range encompassed and the use of the words "Any documents relating to, reflecting, or
- 19 containing" Subject to this objection and the general objections stated above, responsive
- 20 documents from May 22, 2016, to present, will be produced.
- 21 **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 15:** All documents recording or reflecting any
- 22 communication, written policies, documents, and data regarding any adjustments made by or at
- 23 the direction of OHA or by Optumas to FamilyCare's reported costs for the calendar years 2015,
- 24 2016, and 2017.

25

26

Page 7 - DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

RS7/rh2/8368869-v3

1	RESPONSE: Objection, this request seeks documents that are not within the possession,
2	custody, or control of OHA. This request seeks documents that are not relevant or likely to lead
3	to the discovery of admissible evidence and is vague and/or overbroad in terms of the lack of
4	date range encompassed. Subject to the general objections stated above, responsive documents
5	from May 22, 2016, to present, will be produced.
6	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 16: All documents recording or reflecting any written
7	or verbal communications regarding FamilyCare between: Lynne Saxton, Rhonda Busek, David
8	Rohrer, Dennis Tang, and/or Jeremy Vandehey, Lori Coyner, Leslie Clement, amongst
9	themselves individually or collectively, or with or including any other person.
10	RESPONSE: Objection, this request also seeks documents that are not relevant or likely
11	to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and is vague and/or overbroad in terms of the
12	lack of date range encompassed and its inclusion of individuals no longer employed by the State
13	of Oregon. Subject to the general objections stated above, documents from May 22, 2016, to
14	present, regarding the basis for FamilyCare's 2017 capitation rates will be produced.
15	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 17: All documents recording or reflecting any
16	communication between OHA and Optumas regarding the 2015, 2016, and 2017 capitation rates.
17	RESPONSE: Objection, this request also seeks documents that are not relevant or likely
18	to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and is vague and/or overbroad in terms of the
19	lack of date range encompassed. Subject to the general objections stated above, documents from
20	May 22, 2016, to present, regarding the 2017 capitation rates will be produced.
21	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 18: All documents recording or reflecting any
22	communication between OHA and CMS regarding the 2015, 2016, and 2017 capitation rates.
23	RESPONSE: Objection, this request also seeks documents that are not relevant or likely
24	to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and is vague and/or overbroad in terms of the
25	
26	

Department of Justice 100 SW Market Street Portland, OR 97201

DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION

OF DOCUMENTS RS7/rh2/8368869-v3

1	lack of date range encompassed.	Subject to the general	objections stated above.	documents from

- 2 May 22, 2016, to present, regarding the 2017 capitation rates will be produced.
- 3 **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 19:** All documents provided to OHA by each and every
- 4 CCO in Oregon that relate to each and every CCO's income, expenses, and profitability,
- 5 including, but not limited to, the income, expenses, and profitability of each and every CCO's
- 6 related entities.
- 7 **RESPONSE:** Objection, this request seeks documents or information that is trade secret
- 8 or other proprietary information of a third party. Further, this request seeks documents that are
- 9 not relevant or likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and is vague and/or
- 10 overbroad in terms of the lack of date range encompassed. Subject to the general objections
- stated above, documents from May 22, 2016, to present, regarding the 2017 capitation rates will
- 12 be produced.
- 13 **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 20:** All documents recording or reflecting
- 14 communications between OHA and Optumas regarding the 2017 Actuarial Certification, the
- 15 information contained therein, or the information on which the 2017 Rate Certification was
- 16 based.
- 17 **RESPONSE:** Objection, this request seeks documents or information that is trade secret
- 18 or other proprietary information of a third party. Further, this request seeks documents that are
- 19 not relevant or likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and is vague and/or
- 20 overbroad in terms of the lack of date range encompassed. Subject to the general objections
- stated above, documents from May 22, 2016, to present will be produced.
- 22 **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 21:** All documents recording or reflecting internal
- 23 communications related to the 2017 Actuarial Certification, the information contained therein, or
- 24 the information on which it was based.

25

26

Page 9 - DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

RS7/rh2/8368869-v3

1	RESPONSE: Objection, this request seeks documents or information that is trade secret
2	or other proprietary information of a third party. Further, this request seeks documents that are
3	not relevant or likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and is vague and/or
4	overbroad in terms of the lack of date range encompassed. Subject to the general objections
5	stated above, documents from May 22, 2016, to present will be produced.
6	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 22: All drafts of the 2017 Actuarial Certification.
7	RESPONSE: Objection, this request seeks documents that are not within the possession
8	custody, or control of OHA. Further, this request seeks documents that are not relevant or likely
9	to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and is vague and/or overbroad in terms of the
10	lack of a date range encompassed and the use of the words "All drafts." Subject to this objection
11	and the general objections stated above, responsive documents from May 22, 2016, to present,
12	will be produced.
13	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 23: All drafts of the 2017 Reimbursement Review.
14	RESPONSE: Objection, this request seeks documents that are not within the possession.
15	custody, or control of OHA. Further, this request seeks documents that are not relevant or likely
16	to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and is vague and/or overbroad in terms of the
17	lack of a date range encompassed and the use of the words "All drafts." Subject to this objection
18	and the general objections stated above, responsive documents from May 22, 2016, to present,
19	will be produced.
20	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 24: All documents recording or reflecting
21	communications within OHA related to the 2017 Reimbursement Review, its implementation,
22	the policy decisions reflected therein, or the information on which it was based.
23	RESPONSE: Objection, this request seeks documents that are not relevant or likely to
24	lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and is vague and/or overbroad in terms of the lack
25	
26 Page	10 - DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION

Department of Justice 100 SW Market Street Portland, OR 97201 (971) 673-1880 / Fax: (971) 673-5000

OF DOCUMENTS RS7/rh2/8368869-v3

- 1 of a date range encompassed. Subject to this objection and the general objections stated above,
- 2 responsive documents from May 22, 2016, to present, will be produced.
- 3 **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 25:** All documents recording or reflecting
- 4 communications involving OHA related to the 2017 Reimbursement Review, its implementation,
- 5 the policy decisions reflected therein, or the information on which it was based.
- 6 **RESPONSE:** Objection, this request seeks documents that are not relevant or likely to
- 7 lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and is vague and/or overbroad in terms of the lack
- 8 of a date range encompassed and the use of the words "communications involving OHA."
- 9 Subject to this objection and the general objections stated above, responsive documents from
- 10 May 22, 2016, to present, will be produced.
- 11 **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 26:** All documents recording or reflecting
- 12 communications between OHA and Optumas related to the 2017 Capitation Rates, including but
- 13 not limited to the development of those rates.
- 14 **RESPONSE:** Objection, this request seeks documents that are not relevant or likely to
- 15 lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and is vague and/or overbroad in terms of the lack
- of a date range encompassed. Subject to this objection and the general objections stated above,
- 17 responsive documents from May 22, 2016, to present, will be produced.
- 18 **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 27:** All documents recording or reflecting internal
- 19 communications related to the 2017 Capitation Rates, including but not limited to the
- 20 development of those rates.
- 21 **RESPONSE:** Objection, this request seeks documents that are not relevant or likely to
- 22 lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and is vague and/or overbroad in terms of the lack
- 23 of a date range encompassed. Subject to this objection and the general objections stated above,
- 24 responsive documents from May 22, 2016, to present, will be produced.

25

26

Page 11 - DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

RS7/rh2/8368869-v3

1	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 28: All documents recording or reflecting
2	communications involving OHA related to the 2017 Capitation Rates, including but not limited
3	to the development of those rates.
4	RESPONSE: Objection, this request seeks documents that are not relevant or likely to
5	lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and is vague and/or overbroad in terms of the lack
6	of a date range encompassed and the use of the words "communications involving OHA."
7	Subject to this objection and the general objections stated above, responsive documents from
8	May 22, 2016, to present, will be produced.
9	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 29: All documents recording or reflecting any
10	communication, written policies, documents, and/or data involving the Settlement Agreement,
11	effective as of May 22, 2106, entered into between OHA and FamilyCare.
12	RESPONSE: Objection, this request seeks documents that are not within the possession
13	custody, or control of OHA. Further, this request seeks documents that are not relevant or likely
14	to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and is vague and/or overbroad in terms of the use
15	of the words "involving the Settlement Agreement." Subject to this objection and the general
16	objections stated above will be produced.
17	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 30: All documents recording or reflecting any
18	communication, written policies, documents, and/or data communicating CCO cost, utilization
19	and/or performance related to the Quality and Health Outcomes Committee of the Office of
20	Clinical Services Improvement.
21	RESPONSE: Objection, this request seeks documents that are not within the possession
22	custody, or control of OHA. Further, this request seeks documents that are not relevant or likely
23	to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and is vague and/or overbroad in terms of lack of
24	date range encompassed and the use of the words "related to the Quality and Health Outcomes
25	Committee of the Office of Clinical Services Improvement." Subject to this objection and the
26 Page	12 - DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

Department of Justice 100 SW Market Street Portland, OR 97201 (971) 673-1880 / Fax: (971) 673-5000

RS7/rh2/8368869-v3

1	general objections stated above, responsive documents from May 22, 2016, to present, will be		
2	produced.		
3	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 31: All documents recording or reflecting any OHA		
4	communication to any of the 16 CCOs in Oregon, written policies, documents, and/or data		
5	regarding CCO cost, utilization, and/or performance.		
6	RESPONSE: Objection, this request seeks documents or information that is trade secret		
7	or other proprietary information of a third party. Further, this request seeks documents that are		
8	not relevant or likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and is vague and/or		
9	overbroad in terms of the lack of a date range encompassed. Subject to the general objections		
10	stated above, documents from May 22, 2016, to present will be produced.		
11	DATED July <u>05</u> , 2017.		
12	Respectfully submitted,		
13	ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM		
14	Attorney General		
15	AD CA		
16	<u>s/ Renee Stineman</u> RENEE STINEMAN #994610		
17	Attorney-in-Charge CARLA A. SCOTT #054725		
18	Senior Assistant Attorney General ELLEANOR H. CHIN #061484		
19	Senior Assistant Attorney General Trial Attorneys		
20	Tel (971) 673-1880/Fax (971) 673-5000 Renee.Stineman@doj.state.or.us		
21	Carla.A.Scott@doj.state.or.us Elleanor.Chin@doj.state.or.us		
22	Of Attorneys for Plaintiff		
23			
24			
25			
26 Page	26 Page 13 - DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS		

Department of Justice 100 SW Market Street Portland, OR 97201

OF DOCUMENTS RS7/rh2/8368869-v3

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 2 I certify that on July 05, 2017, I served the foregoing DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO 3 PLAINTIFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION upon the parties hereto by the method 4 indicated below, and addressed to the following: 5 Stephen F. English HAND DELIVERY Courtney Rian Peck x MAIL DELIVERY 6 Meredith M. Price **OVERNIGHT MAIL** Thomas Russell Johnson SERVED BY E-FILING 7 Perkins Coie LLP 1120 NW Couch 10th Flr 8 Portland, OR 97209 Of Attorneys for Plaintiff 9 10 11 s/Renee Stineman RENEE STINEMAN #994610 12 Attorney-in-Charge CARLA A. SCOTT #054725 13 Senior Assistant Attorney General ELLEANOR H. CHIN #061484 14 Senior Assistant Attorney General Trial Attorneys 15 Tel (971) 673-1880/Fax (971) 673-5000 Renee.Stineman@doj.state.or.us 16 Carla.A.Scott@doj.state.or.us Elleanor.Chin@doj.state.or.us 17 Of Attorneys for Plaintiff 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

Page 1 -

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

RS7/rh2/8084159-v2

1			
2			
3			
4	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON		
5	FOR THE COU	NTY OF MARION	
6	FAMILYCARE, INC., an Oregon non-profit corporation,	Case No. 17CV09226 Honorable Sean E. Armstrong	
7	Plaintiff,	STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER	
8	v.		
10	OREGON HEALTH AUTHORITY, an agency of the State of Oregon,	ORS 20.140 - State fees deferred at filing	
11	Defendant.		
12			
13	This action concerns information that m	ay be considered confidential, trade secret,	
14	proprietary, or commercially-sensitive information of the parties and third-parties (collectively,		
15	"confidential information") and, in the course of this litigation, the parties expect to exchange		
16	and obtain from third-parties confidential information and documents referencing or containing		
17	confidential information (collectively, "confidential materials"). The parties agree that the entry		
18	of this Stipulated Protective Order ("Protective Order") is warranted to limit disclosure of any		
19	such documents and information.		
20	1. All documents, testimony, and o	ther materials produced by the parties in this case	
21	and labeled "Confidential" or "Attorneys' Eyes Only" and subject to this Protective Order shall		
22	be used only in this proceeding.		
23	2. Use of any information or docur	nents labeled "Confidential" or "Attorneys' Eyes	
24	Only" and subject to this Protective Order, including all information derived therefrom, shall be		
25	restricted solely to the litigation of this case and shall not be used by any party for any business,		
26	commercial, or competitive purpose.		

Page 1 - STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER RS7/rh2/8279192-v6

Department of Justice 100 SW Market Street Portland, OR 97201 (971) 673-1880 / Fax: (971) 673-5000

1	3. This Protective Order does not restrict the disclosure or use of any information or		
2	documents lawfully obtained by the receiving party from any source other than the party		
3	claiming confidentiality or through means or sources outside of this litigation. Should a dispute		
4	arise as to any specific information or document, the burden shall be on the party claiming that		
5	such information or document was lawfully obtained through means and sources outside of this		
6	litigation.		
7	4. Producing parties, including third parties, may designate as "Confidential" or		
8	"Attorneys' Eyes Only" documents, testimony, written responses, or other materials produced in		
9	this case if they contain information that the producing party has a good faith basis for asserting		
10	is confidential under the applicable legal standards. As used herein, "designating party" shall		
11	refer to the party or third party designating any document, testimony or other materials as		
12	"Confidential" or "Attorneys' Eyes Only" under this Protective Order. The designating party		
13	shall designate each page of the document with a stamp identifying it as "Confidential" or		
14	"Attorneys' Eyes Only," unless impractical to do so.		
15	5. If portions of documents or other materials deemed "Confidential" or "Attorneys"		
16	Eyes Only" or any papers containing or making reference to such materials are filed with the		
17	Court, the party seeking to file the confidential materials shall first move the court pursuant to		
18	UTCR 5.160. Upon approval by the court the party shall file the confidential materials under		
19	seal, marked as follows or in substantially similar form:		
20	CONFIDENTIAL - IN ACCORDANCE WITH A PROTECTIVE ORDER, THE ENCLOSURE(S) SHALL BE TREATED AS CONFIDENTIAL AND SHALL NOT BE		
21	SHOWN TO ANY PERSON OTHER THAN THOSE PERSONS DESIGNATED IN PARAGRAPH 8 OF THE PROTECTIVE ORDER.		
22	TARAGRAITI O OF THE TROTECTIVE ORDER.		
23	or		
24	ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY - IN ACCORDANCE WITH A PROTECTIVE ORDER, THE ENCLOSURE(S) SHALL BE TREATED AS FOR ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY		
25	AND SHALL NOT BE SHOWN TO ANY PERSON OTHER THAN THOSE PERSONS DESIGNATED IN PARAGRAPH 9 OF THE PROTECTIVE ORDER.		
26			

1	6. Within thirty (30) calendar days after receipt of the final transcript of the	
2	deposition of any party or witness in this case, a party or the witness may designate as	
3	"Confidential" or Attorneys' Eyes Only" any portion of the transcript that the party or witness	
4	contends discloses confidential information. If a transcript containing any such material is filed	
5	with the Court, it shall be filed under seal and marked in the manner described in paragraph 5.	
6	Unless otherwise agreed, all deposition transcripts shall be treated as "Confidential" until the	
7	expiration of the thirty-day period.	
8	7. "Confidential" or "Attorneys' Eyes Only" information and documents subject to	
9	this Protective Order shall not be filed with the Court or included in whole or in part in	
10	pleadings, motions, briefs, etc., filed in this case, except when any portion(s) of such pleadings,	
11	motions, briefs, etc. have been filed under seal by counsel and marked in the same manner as	
12	described in paragraph 5 above. Such sealed portion(s) of pleadings, motions, briefs, documents,	
13	etc., shall be opened only by the Court or by personnel authorized to do so by the Court.	
14	8. Use of any information, documents, or portions of documents marked	
15	"Confidential," including all information derived therefrom, shall be restricted solely to the	
16	following persons, who agree to be bound by the terms of this Protective Order, unless additional	
17	persons are stipulated by counsel or authorized by the Court:	
18	a. Outside counsel of record for the parties, and the administrative staff of	
19	outside counsel's firms.	
20	b. In-house counsel for the parties, and the administrative staff for each in-	
21	house counsel.	
22	c. Any party to this action and any employee, director, officer, or manager of	
23	any party, as such party's counsel may deem reasonable for purposes of this litigation.	
24	d. Independent consultants or expert witnesses (including partners, associates	
25	and employees of the firm which employs such consultant or expert) retained by a party or its	
26		

I	attorneys for purposes of this litigation, as such party's counsel may deem reasonable for	
2	purposes of this litigation.	
3	e. The Court and its personnel, including, but not limited to, stenographic	
4	reporters regularly employed by the Court and stenographic reporters not regularly employed by	
5	the Court who are engaged by the Court or the parties during the litigation of this action,	
6	f. The authors and the original recipients of the documents.	
7	g. Any court reporter or videographer reporting a deposition.	
8	h. Employees of copy services, microfilming or database services, trial	
9	support firms and/or translators who are engaged by the parties during the litigation of this	
10	action.	
11	9. Use of any information, documents, or portions of documents marked "Attorneys	
12	Eyes Only," including all information derived therefrom, shall be restricted solely to the persons	
13	listed in paragraphs 8(a), 8(b), 8(d), 8(e), 8(f), 8(g) and 8(h), unless additional persons are	
14	stipulated by counsel or authorized by the Court. Prior to being shown any documents produced	
15	by another party marked "Confidential" or "Attorneys' Eyes Only," any person listed under	
16	paragraph 8(c) or 8(d) shall agree to be bound by the terms of this Order by signing the	
17	agreement attached as Exhibit A.	
18	10. Whenever information designated as "Confidential" or "Attorneys' Eyes Only"	
19	pursuant to this Protective Order is to be discussed by a party or disclosed in a deposition,	
20	hearing, or pre-trial proceeding, the designating party may exclude from the room any person,	
21	other than persons designated in paragraphs 8 and 9, as appropriate, for that portion of the	
22	deposition, hearing or pre-trial proceeding.	
23	11. Each party reserves the right to dispute the confidential status claimed by any	
24	other party or subpoenaed party in accordance with this Protective Order. If a party believes that	
25	any documents or materials have been inappropriately designated by another party or	
26	subpoenaed party, that party shall confer with counsel for the designating party. As part of that	

1 conferral, the designating party must assess whether redaction is a viable alternative to complete

2 non-disclosure. If the parties are unable to resolve the matter informally, a party may file an

appropriate motion before the Court requesting that the Court determine whether the Protective

4 Order covers the document in dispute. Regardless of which party files the motion, the party

seeking to protect a document from disclosure bears the burden of establishing good cause for

why the document should not be disclosed. A party who disagrees with another party's

designation must nevertheless abide by that designation until the matter is resolved by agreement

of the parties or by order of the Court.

9 12. The inadvertent failure to designate a document, testimony, or other material as

"Confidential" or "Attorneys' Eyes Only" prior to disclosure shall not operate as a waiver of the

party's right to later designate the document, testimony, or other material as "Confidential" or

12 "Attorneys' Eyes Only." The receiving party or its counsel shall not disclose such documents or

materials if that party or counsel knows or reasonably should know that a claim of confidentiality

would be made by the producing party. Promptly after receiving notice from the producing party

of a claim of confidentiality, the receiving party or its counsel shall inform the producing party

of all pertinent facts relating to the prior disclosure of the newly-designated documents or

materials, and shall make reasonable efforts to retrieve such documents and materials and to

prevent further disclosure.

19 13. Designation by either party of information or documents as "Confidential" or

"Attorneys' Eyes Only," or failure to so designate, will not be constitute an admission that

information or documents are or are not confidential or trade secrets. Neither party may

22 introduce into evidence in any proceeding between the parties, other than a motion to determine

23 whether the Protective Order covers the information or documents in dispute, the fact that the

other party designated or failed to designate information or documents as "Confidential" or

25 "Attorneys' Eyes Only."

26

24

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

Page 5 - STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

1	14. A large volume of documents may be exchanged through discovery in this
2	lawsuit, and the parties want to expedite the review and delivery of such documents. It is agreed
3	that if either party discloses privileged information and/or protected trial preparation materials,
4	the parties understand that there will be no waiver of privilege and/or protection. A party may
5	assert the privilege and/or protection at any time in the litigation. After being notified of the
6	privilege or protection, the other party (a) must promptly return the specified information, and
7	any copies in its possession, custody, or control, (b) must make reasonable efforts to retrieve and
8	to prevent disclosure of the information, if the party disclosed it before being notified, and
9	(c) may not further use or disclose the information. A party opposing the claim of privilege
10	and/or protection must promptly present the information to the court under seal for a
11	determination of the claim. Absent an expressed intent to waive, the presumption will be in
12	favor of privilege and/or protection.
13	15. Upon the request of the producing party or third party, within 30 days after the
14	entry of a final judgment no longer subject to appeal on the merits of this case, or the execution
15	of any agreement between the parties to resolve amicably and settle this case, the parties and any
16	person authorized by this Protective Order to receive confidential information shall return to the
17	producing party or third party, or destroy, all information and documents subject to this
18	Protective Order. Returned materials shall be delivered in sealed envelopes marked
19	"Confidential" or "Attorneys' Eyes Only" as appropriate to respective counsel. The party
20	requesting the return of materials shall pay the reasonable costs of responding to its request.
21	Notwithstanding the foregoing, counsel for a party may retain archival copies of all confidential
22	documents.
23	16. This Protective Order shall not constitute a waiver of any party's or non-party's
24	right to oppose any discovery request or object to the admissibility of any document, testimony
25	or other information.

RS7/rh2/8279192-v6

26

1	17.	Nothing in this Protective Order s	shall prejudice any party from seeking
2	amendments to expand or restrict the rights of access to and use of confidential information, or		
3	other modifications, subject to order by the Court.		
4	18. The restrictions on disclosure and use of confidential information shall survive th		
5	conclusion of	this action and this Court shall reta	ain jurisdiction of this action after its conclusion
6	for the purpose of enforcing the terms of this Protective Order.		otective Order.
7	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
8			
9			
10		R. Johnson JOHNSON #010645	/s/_Renee R. Stineman RENEE STINEMAN #994610
11	Of Attorneys	for Plaintiff	Of Attorneys for Defendant
12	The Court has reviewed the reasons offered in support of entry of this Stipulated		red in support of entry of this Stipulated
13	Protective Order and finds that there is good cause to protect the confidentiality of the		
14	confidential materials, therefore, pursuant to ORCP 36, the Court adopts the above Stipulated		
15	Protective Order in this action.		
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21	Submitted by:	: Renee Stineman Attorney-in-Charge	
22		Attorneys for Defendants	
23			
24			
25			
26			

Page 7 -

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

RS7/rh2/8279192-v6

EXHIBIT A

I,	, have been advised by counsel of record for	
	in FamilyCare, Inc. v. Oregon Health Authority,	
Case No. 17CV09226, Marion Cou	unty, Oregon, of the protective order governing the delivery,	
publication, and disclosure of confi	idential documents and information produced in this litigation	
I have read a copy of the protective	e order and agree to abide by its terms.	
	Signed Name	
	Printed Name	
	Date	

1 CERTIFICATE OF READINESS 2 This proposed *order* is ready for judicial signature because: 3 1. [X] Each opposing party affected by this *order* has stipulated to the *order*, as shown 4 by each opposing party's signature on the document being submitted. 5 2. [] Each opposing party affected by this *order* has approved the *order*, as shown by 6 signature on the document being submitted or by written confirmation of approval 7 sent to me. 8 3. [] I have served a copy of this *order* on all parties entitled to service and provided 9 written notice of the objection period, and: 10 a. [] No objection has been served on me within that time frame. 11 b. [] I received objections that I could not resolve with the opposing party 12 despite reasonable efforts to do so. I have filed with the court a copy of the 13 objections I received and indicated which objections remain unresolved. 14 c. [] After conferring about objections, [role and name of opposing party] 15 agreed to file any remaining objection with the court by [date], which 16 predated my submission. 17 4. [] The relief sought is against an opposing party who has been found in default. 18 5. [] An order of default is being requested with this proposed judgment. 19 6. [] Service is not required by statute, rule, or otherwise. 20 DATED June 8, 2017. 21 22 s/Renee Stineman 23 RENEE STINEMAN #994610 Attorney-in-Charge 24 Trial Attorney Tel (971) 673-1880/Fax (971) 673-5000 25 Renee.Stineman@doj.state.or.us Of Attorneys for Defendant 26

Page 1 -**CERTIFICATE OF READINESS** RS7/c4m/8316115-v1

> Department of Justice 100 SW Market Street Portland, OR 97201

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 2 I certify that on June 8, 2017, I served the foregoing STIPULATED PROTECTIVE 3 ORDER upon the parties hereto by the method indicated below, and addressed to the following: 4 Stephen F. English HAND DELIVERY Courtney Rian Peck x MAIL DELIVERY 5 Meredith M. Price ___OVERNIGHT MAIL Thomas Russell Johnson x SERVED BY E-FILING 6 Perkins Coie LLP 1120 NW Couch 10th Flr 7 Portland, OR 97209 Of Attorneys for Plaintiff 8 9 10 s/ Renee Stineman RENEE STINEMAN #994610 11 Attorney-in-Charge CARLA A. SCOTT #054725 12 Senior Assistant Attorney General Trial Attorneys 13 Tel (971) 673-1880/Fax (971) 673-5000 Renee.Stineman@doj.state.or.us 14 Carla.A.Scott@doj.state.or.us Of Attorneys for Plaintiff 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

Page 1 - CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE RS7/rh2/8084159-v1

Mullaney Colleen

From: efilingmail@tylerhost.net

Sent: Friday, June 9, 2017 8:49 AM

To: Mullaney Colleen

Subject: Filing Accepted for Case: 17CV09226; FamilyCare, Inc.vsOregon Health Authority;

Envelope Number: 2170593

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Completed

Categories: Holding



Filing Accepted

Envelope Number: 2170593 Case Number: 17CV09226 Case Style: FamilyCare, Inc.vsOregon Health Authority

The filing below was reviewed and has been accepted by the clerk's office. You may access the file stamped copy of the document filed by clicking on the below link.

Filing Details		
Court	Judicial District 3	
Case Number	17CV09226	
Case Style	FamilyCare, Inc.vsOregon Health Authority	
Date/Time Submitted	6/8/2017 1:07:28 PM PDT	
Date/Time Accepted	6/9/2017 8:48:28 AM PDT	
Accepted Comments		
Filing Type	Order - Proposed - PPOR	
Filing Description		
Activity Requested	EFileAndServe	
Filed By	Colleen Mullaney	
Filing Attorney	Renee Stineman	

Document Details	
Lead Document	FamilyCare 9226 Stipulated Protective Order.pdf
Lead Document Page Count	10
File Stamped Copy	Download Document

This link is active for 45 days.

Please Note: If you have not already done so, be sure to add yourself as a service contact on this case in order to receive eService.

For technical assistance, contact your service provider

Odyssey File & Serve

(800) 297-5377

Please do not reply to this email. It was automatically generated.